

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,479	07/28/2004	Paul John Verma	NX-5660	1456
T : C :	7590 01/17/2007		EXAMINER	
Janice Guthrie Baxter Healthcare Corporation			NOBLE, MARCIA STEPHENS	
P O Box 15210 Irvine, CA 926		,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1632	
n vine, CA 920	25-3210			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/646,479	VERMA ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marcia S. Noble	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	·				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated 						
), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85).	eriod for payment of the issue fee (a	nd publication fee) s	set in the Notice of			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balanc	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37).	•					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire i	nterest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity u	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		se the period for see	eking court review			
7. 🖾 The reason(s) below:						
See Continuation Sheet		L-Monie IE FALK, PH.D EXAMINER	Falk			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice	of Abandonment	Part of Pa	per No. 20070105			

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Applicant's Attorney of record, Kathleen Petrillo, was contacted on 1/5/2006 by telephone to determine the status of this case. Ms Petrillo stated that the case was transferred to Fish and Richerson and that when she contacted them they stated that they intended for the case to go abandoned. No change of power of attorney has been filed and therefore since the case is gone 7+ months without a reply and Katheleen Petrillo indicates that the case seems to have been meant to be abandoned. It will be assumed the the case was neant to be abandoned.